This is a teleconference and zoom meeting only. There is no physical location being made available to the public. Per EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20, teleconferencing restrictions are waived during the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, Committee members are not required to list their remote locations and members of the public may participate telephonically or by Zoom from any location. Accessible formats of all agenda and materials can be found online at www.scdd.ca.gov.

JOIN ZOOM MEETING: bit.ly/stateplan68
MEETING ID: 980 7079 9716
PASSWORD: 312064
Click here for instructions for downloading and installing the Zoom application.

OR
JOIN BY TELECONFERENCE: (VOICE ONLY)
CALL IN NUMBER: (669) 900-9128
MEETING ID: 980 7079 9716

DATE: June 8, 2020
TIME: 10:00 AM – 2:00 PM

COMMITTEE CHAIR: Kilolo Brodie

Item 1. CALL TO ORDER

Item 2. ESTABLISH QUORUM
Item 3. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

Item 4. PUBLIC COMMENTS
** This item is for members of the public only to provide comments and/or present information to the Committee on matters not on the agenda. Each person will be afforded up to three minutes to speak.**

Item 5. APPROVAL OF MAY 2020 MINUTES

Item 6. REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBER FEEDBACK ON 2022-2026 STATE PLAN DEVELOPMENT SURVEY RESULTS
Kilolo Brodie, Committee Chair and Rihana Ahmad, SCDD Manager
- Discussion of Questions 1 & 2 (Part 2)
- Overview of responses to Questions 3, 4, & 5 (All)
- Goal Development Discussion

Item 7. ADJOURNMENT

Accessibility:
Pursuant to Government Code Sections 11123.1 and 11125(f) and Executive Order N-29-20 (this Executive Order can be found by clicking the link on page one of the agenda or typing https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/3.17.20-N-29-20-EO.pdf into your web browser), individuals with disabilities who require accessible alternative formats of the agenda and related meeting materials and/or auxiliary aids/services to participate in this meeting should contact Robin Maitino-Erben at (916) 263-8193 or robin.maitino@scdd.ca.gov. Please provide at least 3 business days prior to the meeting to allow adequate time to respond to all requests.

All times indicated and the order of business are approximate and subject to change.
June 8, 2020

AGENDA ITEM 5
ACTION ITEM

STATE COUNCIL ON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES
STATE PLAN COMMITTEE

Approval of May 18, 2020 Minutes
Members will review and approve the May meeting minutes.

Action Recommended
Approve the May 18, 2020 minutes.

Attachment(s)
May 2020 Meeting Minutes
State Council on Developmental Disabilities
STATE PLAN COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES
May 18, 2020

1. CALL TO ORDER
   Committee Chair Kilolo Brodie (FA) called the meeting to order at 10:11 a.m.

2. ESTABLISH QUORUM
   A quorum was established.

3. WELCOME/INTRODUCTIONS
   Members and others introduced themselves as noted (above).

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS
   Liana Dolbaia (Area Director of Sol & Ruth Family Center, the ARC - San Diego) noted her attendance and voiced appreciation for information to share with self-advocates.

5. APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 2020 MEETING MINUTES
   It was moved/seconded (Bycel [FA]/Pegos [FA]) and carried to adopt the April 2020 meeting minutes, as presented. (Unanimous, with Committee Members Aldana, Brodie, Bycel, Lagrand and Pegos voting)
6. REVIEW 2022-2026 STATE PLAN DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE
Committee Chair Kilolo Brodie provided an overview of the updated timeline without objection.

7. REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBER FEEDBACK ON 2022-2026 STATE PLAN DEVELOPMENT SURVEY RESULTS
Committee Chair Kilolo Brodie led a discussion on the compilation of committee members feedback. Committee Members made comments and/or observations about the following survey response areas, recognizing that these comments were solicited prior to the onset of COVID-19 and, as a result, do not necessarily reflect the range of safety-related problems complicating each of the following issues:

- **Health & Well-Being**
  This is a cross-sectional, multi-dimensional issue for people with I/DD and caregivers/family members, which can prove to be a barrier in accessing other important services and supports. It will be critical to collaborate with state-level partners (e.g. DHHS, DHCS, etc.) in removing and/or reducing these barriers. Another issue that was raised was the difference in service expectations, when comparing private insurance coverage to public entitlements, such as Medi-Cal and Medicare. Members acknowledged that the survey responses reflected concerns on the part of people with disabilities, family advocates and others about what will happen when caretakers and/or family members die, leaving self-advocates without close relational and personal supports.

- **Housing**
  Members agreed that housing is a macro-level issue, with insufficient levels of affordable housing stock; housing is a problem that extends throughout the state (and is difficult to tackle on a local or regional level) and is especially lacking in affluent areas. Over the past two years, natural disasters (e.g. fires, flooding, etc.) have further reduced the availability of affordable housing stock.

- **Education**
  Members expressed concern with the levels of service that children with IEP’s were (not) receiving, in the face of recent COVID-19 restrictions. One concern is the loss of tailored services, compensatory education,
and adequate opportunities for inclusion – concerns that will involve years of (academic, social and developmental) fallout. It was noted that, while college was important, survey responses also brought up the importance of vocational training, transition, etc. Members noted that needs associated with education were far more important to family advocates than to self-advocates, who expressed more needs in areas such as employment and housing.

• **Employment**
  Questions were raised about the disparity in stated expectations of males versus females with disabilities, in regard to employment-related concerns (by family advocates). Employment was acknowledged as providing ‘purpose’ for self-advocates. Discussion was also held regarding the gender differences in the incidence rate of disabilities in Californians.

• **Formal & Informal Community Supports**
  Members noted that it was difficult to pull discrete issues from this section of survey responses, as there were so many different topics raised by respondents. It was noted that access to resources and information was a prevalent theme (e.g. Special Olympics, recreational activities, etc.), although respondents didn’t necessarily differentiate between natural supports (e.g. faith-based services, friend/family support, etc.) and formal services (e.g. IHSS, transportation, etc.). There was also a question about the possibility of a follow-up survey to gain more information from respondents about needs associated with formal/informal supports. Another area of discussion included recreation and transportation, with harassment a matter of concern, in regard to access to public transportation.

• **General Discussion**
  Members discussed Health and Well-Being as being an overarching, intersectional issue and the differences between 1) identifying separate topics (including self-advocacy) and developing those as goal areas, and 2) tackling the State Plan development in a different way (e.g. dividing topic areas by systems change, capacity-building and advocacy functions). Members wanted information about the Council’s past success in systemic change efforts to assist in producing measurable outcomes. Members were agreed on not stretching the Council’s resources so thin, in developing the next State Plan. There was also
general acknowledgement in brainstorming about both specific projects (e.g. upcoming grant cycles) and (perhaps) combined goal areas. Members agreed that the discussions have allowed them to reconsider their initial responses to the survey information. The next meeting will allow members to continue discussion on the remaining survey topic areas, rank all the topics in order of importance, perhaps combine topic areas, process together and brainstorm with the available information.

8. **FUTURE MEETING DATES**
   - June 8th, 2020 (10 a.m. – 2 p.m.)
   - June 25th, 2020 (9 a.m. – 5 p.m.)
   - July 21st, 2020 (10 a.m. – 2 p.m.)

9. **ADJOURNMENT**
   Committee Chair Kilolo Brodie adjourned the meeting at 1:52 p.m.
AGENDA ITEM 6

STATE COUNCIL ON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES
STATE PLAN COMMITTEE

Review Committee Member Feedback on 2022-2026 State Plan Development Survey Results

Committee Chair Kilolo Brodie will lead a discussion on Committee Member Feedback for the 2022-2026 State Plan Development Survey Results. The goal of this discussion will be to accomplish the following:

• **Questions 1 and 2 (Part 2)**
  Discuss responses to questions 1 and 2 for Quality Assurance, Early Intervention, Transportation, Recreation and Child Care sections.

• **Provide Overview of Responses to Questions 3, 4 and 5 (All)**
  Present an overview of member responses to questions 3, 4 and 5 for all 10 service areas.

• **Goal Development Discussion**
  Using data collected from the survey responses, feedback from the Committee and data charts, members will begin the process of developing the State Plan Goals. Including selection of the goal priority areas.

**Attachments**
None

**Handouts**
- Committee member feedback on 2022–2026 State Plan Development Survey Results – Part 2
- Overview of Responses to Questions 3, 4 & 5
- Data Charts
- Worksheet – Goal Development Discussion